

**Problem (4).** *The Comparison Theorem For Injective Modules:*

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{B}_\bullet : & 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} & B_0 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & B_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & \dots \\ & & & \downarrow \pi & & & & & & \\ \mathcal{Q}_\bullet : & 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{\psi_0} & Q_0 & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & Q_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi_2} & \dots \end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_\bullet$  is exact and each  $Q_i$  is injective. Prove that there exists a chain map  $f : \mathcal{B}_\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\bullet$  lifting  $\pi$ . Further, if  $g$  is another chain map lifting  $\pi$ , then  $f \sim g$ .

*Solution.* By the injectiveness of  $Q_0$ ,  $\exists f_0$  such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} & B_0 \\ \psi_0 \pi \downarrow & \swarrow \exists f_0 & \\ Q_0 & & \end{array}$$

That is,  $\psi_0 \pi = f_0 \varphi_0$ .

Now inductively, assume that we have defined  $f_n : B_n \rightarrow Q_n$  such that  $\psi_n f_{n-1} = f_n \varphi_n$  for some  $n \geq 0$  (For convenience define  $f_{-1} = \pi$ ).

By the injectiveness of  $Q_{n+1}$ ,  $\exists f_{n+1}$  such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_n & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{n+1}} & B_{n+1} \\ \psi_{n+1} f_n \downarrow & \swarrow \exists f_{n+1} & \\ Q_{n+1} & & \end{array}$$

That is,  $\psi_{n+1} f_n = f_{n+1} \varphi_{n+1}$ . By induction,  $\exists f_n : B_n \rightarrow Q_n \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  that makes the diagram commute.

Now suppose  $g$  is a chain map lifting  $\pi$ .

We seek homomorphisms  $s_n : B_n \rightarrow Q_{n-1}$  such that  $f_n - g_n = \psi_n s_n + s_{n+1} \varphi_{n+1}$

Set  $s_0 := 0$ .

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0 \pi &= f_0 \varphi_0 \\ \psi_0 \pi &= g_0 \varphi_0 \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(f_0 - g_0) \circ \varphi_0 = 0$ . So  $\ker(\varphi_1) = \text{im}(\varphi_0) \subseteq \ker(f_0 - g_0)$ . Define the maps  $\widetilde{\varphi}_1$  and  $\widetilde{f_0 - g_0}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\varphi}_1 : \frac{B_0}{\ker(\varphi_1)} &\rightarrow B_1 \\ b + \ker(\varphi_1) &\mapsto \varphi_1(b) \\ \widetilde{f_0 - g_0} : \frac{B_0}{\ker(\varphi_1)} &\rightarrow Q_0 \\ b + \ker(\varphi_1) &\mapsto (f_0 - g_0)(b) \end{aligned}$$

These maps are well-defined since  $\ker(\phi_1)$  is contained in both  $\ker(\phi_1)$  and  $\ker(f_0 - g_0)$ . Note also that  $\widetilde{\varphi}_1$  is injective.

Thus we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \frac{B_0}{\ker(\varphi_1)} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varphi}_1} B_1 \\ & & \downarrow \widetilde{f_0 - g_0} \\ & & Q_0 \end{array}$$

By the injectivity of  $Q_0$ ,  $\exists s_1 : B_1 \rightarrow Q_0$  such that  $\widetilde{f_0 - g_0} = s_1 \widetilde{\varphi}_1$ .

Now let  $b \in B_0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (f_0 - g_0)(b) &= (\widetilde{f_0 - g_0})(b + \ker(\varphi_1)) \\ &= s_1 \widetilde{\varphi}_1(b + \ker(\varphi_1)) \\ &= s_1(\varphi_1(b)) \\ &= s_1 \varphi_1(b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f_0 - g_0 = s_1 \varphi_1$ .

Inductively assume that for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , we have defined  $s_k : B_k \rightarrow Q_{k-1}$  such that

$$f_k - g_k = \psi_k s_k + s_{k+1} \varphi_{k+1}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (f_n - g_n)\varphi_n &= f_n \varphi_n - g_n \varphi_n \\ &= \psi_n f_{n-1} - \psi_n g_{n-1} \\ &= \psi_n (f_{n-1} - g_{n-1}) \\ &= \psi_n (\psi_{n-1} s_{n-1} + s_n \varphi_n) \\ &= \psi_n s_n \varphi_n \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\gamma := f_n - g_n - \psi_n s_n$ . Then  $\ker(\varphi_{n+1}) = \text{im}(\varphi_n) \subseteq \ker(\gamma)$ . Define functions  $\widetilde{\varphi}_{n+1}$  and  $\widetilde{\gamma}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\varphi}_{n+1} &: \frac{B_n}{\ker(\varphi_{n+1})} \rightarrow B_{n+1} \\ b + \ker(\varphi_{n+1}) &\mapsto \varphi_{n+1}(b) \\ \widetilde{\gamma} &: \frac{B_n}{\ker(\varphi_{n+1})} \rightarrow Q_n \\ b + \ker(\varphi_{n+1}) &\mapsto \gamma b \end{aligned}$$

These maps are well-defined since  $\ker(\varphi_{n+1})$  is contained in both  $\ker(\varphi_{n+1})$  and  $\ker(\gamma)$ . Note also that  $\widetilde{\varphi}_{n+1}$  is injective.

So we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \frac{B_n}{\ker(\varphi_{n+1})} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\varphi}_{n+1}} B_{n+1} \\ & & \downarrow \widetilde{\gamma} \\ & & Q_n \end{array}$$

By the injectivity of  $Q_n$ ,  $\exists s_{n+1} : B_{n+1} \rightarrow Q_n$  such that  $\widetilde{\gamma} = s_{n+1} \widetilde{\varphi}_{n+1}$ .

Then for any  $b \in B_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}(f_n - g_n)(b) - \psi_n s_n(b) &= \gamma(b) \\ &= \tilde{\gamma}(b + \ker(\varphi_{n+1})) \\ &= s_{n+1} \widetilde{\varphi_{n+1}}(b + \ker(\varphi_{n+1})) \\ &= s_{n+1} \varphi_{n+1}(b).\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $f_n - g_n = \psi_n s_n + s_{n+1} \varphi_{n+1}$ .

By induction, there are maps  $s_n$  satisfying the desired equations. Thus  $f \sim g$ .

**Problem (7).** Let  $\Gamma$  be an oriented graph with vertices  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  and edges  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  and  $c$  connected components. Let  $C_0$  be the free module on the vertices,  $C_1$  be the free module on the edges, and  $\partial$  be the incidence matrix of  $\Gamma$ . Consider the simplicial chain complex,  $\Gamma_\bullet$ , of  $\Gamma$ :

$$\Gamma_\bullet: 0 \longrightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

Compute  $H_0(\Gamma_\bullet)$  and  $H_1(\Gamma_\bullet)$ .

*Solution.* We seek to compute the rank and nullity of  $\partial$ . Suppose  $\partial^T w = 0$ . Note that  $\partial^T$  has exactly one 1 and one  $-1$  in every row corresponding to an edge of  $\Gamma$ . Therefore if there is an edge from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$ , we must have  $w_i - w_j = 0$ . So  $w_i = w_j$ . Suppose now that two vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  lie in the same connected component. Then there is a path  $(v_i, v_{k_1}, \dots, v_{k_s}, v_j)$ . But then by the above observation,  $w_i = w_{k_1} = \dots = w_{k_s} = w_j$ . Therefore  $w_i = w_j$  if  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  lie in the same connected component. But then we see that when choosing  $w$  we had exactly  $c$  choices of values. So  $\text{nullity}(\partial^T) = c$ . And by Rank-Nullity (to be safe I will assume that  $R$  is a PID),  $\text{rank}(\partial^T) = n - c$ .

But  $\text{rank}(\partial) = \text{rank}(\partial^T) = n - c$ . So again by Rank-Nullity,  $\text{nullity}(\partial) = m - (n - c) = m - n + c$ .

Now we see that

$$H_0(\Gamma_\bullet) \cong \frac{C_0}{\text{im}(\partial)} \cong \frac{R^n}{R^{n-c}} \cong R^c$$

$$H_1(\Gamma_\bullet) \cong \ker(\partial) \cong R^{m-n+c}$$

In particular, if  $\Gamma$  is connected,  $c = 1$  and the original statement of the problem follows.

**Problem (12).** Consider the following diagram of  $R$ -modules with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & B & \xrightarrow{\beta} & C & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & D & \xrightarrow{\delta} & E \\
 \downarrow u & & \downarrow v & & \downarrow w & & \downarrow y & & \downarrow z \\
 A' & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & B' & \xrightarrow{\beta'} & C' & \xrightarrow{\gamma'} & D' & \xrightarrow{\delta'} & E'
 \end{array}$$

- (a) If  $v$  and  $y$  are injective, and  $u$  is surjective, then  $w$  is injective.  
(b) If  $v$  and  $y$  are surjective and  $z$  is injective, then  $w$  is surjective.  
(c) If  $u$ ,  $v$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  are isomorphisms, then  $w$  is an isomorphism.

*Solution.*

- (a) Assume  $v, y$  are injective, and  $u$  is surjective. Suppose  $w(c) = 0$ . Then  $y\gamma(c) = \gamma'w(c) = 0$ . So  $\gamma(c) \in \ker(y) = \{0\}$ . Thus  $c \in \ker(\gamma) = \text{im}(\beta)$ . Therefore  $\exists b \in B$  such that  $\beta(b) = c$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta'v(b) &= w\beta(b) \\
 &= w(c) \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

So  $v(b) \in \ker(\beta') = \text{im}(\alpha')$ . And so  $\exists a' \in A'$  with  $\alpha'(a') = v(b)$ . Since  $u$  is surjective,  $\exists a \in A$  with  $u(a) = a'$ . Thus  $v(b) = \alpha'u(a) = v\alpha(a)$ . Since  $v$  is injective,  $b = \alpha(a)$ . And so  $c = \beta(b) = \beta\alpha(a) = 0$ . Thus  $w$  is injective.

- (b) Assume  $v, y$  are surjective, and  $z$  is injective. Suppose  $c' \in C'$ . Since  $y$  is surjective,  $\exists d \in D$  such that  $y(d) = \gamma'(c')$ . So  $0 = \delta'\gamma'(c') = \delta'y(d) = z\delta(d)$ . Since  $z$  is injective,  $\delta(d) = 0$ . That is  $d \in \ker(\delta) = \text{im}(\gamma)$ . So  $\exists c \in C$  with  $\gamma(c) = d$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma'(c') &= y(d) \\
 &= y\gamma(c) \\
 &= \gamma'w(c)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $c' - w(c) \in \ker(\gamma') = \text{im}(\beta')$ . So  $\exists b' \in B'$  with  $\beta'(b') = c' - w(c)$ . Since  $v$  is surjective,  $\exists b \in B$  with  $v(b) = b'$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 c' - w(c) &= \beta'(b') \\
 &= \beta'v(b) \\
 &= w\beta(b)
 \end{aligned}$$

And so  $c' = w(c + \beta(b))$ , and  $w$  is surjective.

- (c) Assume  $u, v, y$ , and  $z$  are isomorphisms. Then by part (a),  $w$  is injective. By part (b)  $w$  is surjective. Thus  $w$  is an isomorphism.

**Problem (13).** For  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$  be the chain complex:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\partial_{\alpha,i+2}} C_{\alpha,i+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{\alpha,i+1}} C_{\alpha,i} \xrightarrow{\partial_{\alpha,i}} \dots$$

Define the chain complex  $\oplus_\alpha \mathcal{C}_\alpha$  and prove that  $H_n(\oplus_\alpha \mathcal{C}_\alpha) \cong \oplus_\alpha H_n(\mathcal{C}_\alpha)$ .

*Solution.* Define the chain complex  $\oplus_\alpha \mathcal{C}_\alpha$  by:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\oplus_\alpha \partial_{\alpha,i+2}} \oplus_\alpha C_{\alpha,i+1} \xrightarrow{\oplus_\alpha \partial_{\alpha,i+1}} \oplus_\alpha C_{\alpha,i} \xrightarrow{\oplus_\alpha \partial_{\alpha,i}} \dots$$

Where

$$(\oplus_\alpha \partial_{\alpha,i})(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} = (\partial_{\alpha,i}(x_\alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}.$$

$\oplus_\alpha \mathcal{C}_\alpha$  is a chain complex:

Let  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \in \oplus_\alpha C_{\alpha,i+1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\oplus_\alpha \partial_{\alpha,i} \circ \oplus_\alpha \partial_{\alpha,i+1})(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} &= \oplus_\alpha \partial_{\alpha,i}((\partial_{\alpha,i+1}(x_\alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}) \\ &= ((\partial_{\alpha,i} \partial_{\alpha,i+1})(x_\alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \\ &= (0)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\oplus_\alpha \mathcal{C}_\alpha$  is a chain complex.

Now let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $\varphi : H_n(\oplus_\alpha \mathcal{C}_\alpha) \rightarrow \oplus_\alpha H_n(\mathcal{C}_\alpha)$  by:

$$\varphi((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} + im(\oplus_\alpha \partial_{\alpha,n+1})) = (x_\alpha + im(\partial_{\alpha,n+1}))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$$

$\varphi$  is well-defined: Suppose  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} + im(\oplus_\alpha \partial_{\alpha,n+1}) = (y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} + im(\oplus_\alpha \partial_{\alpha,n+1})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x_\alpha - y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} + im(\oplus_\alpha \partial_{\alpha,n+1}) &= im(\oplus_\alpha \partial_{\alpha,n+1}). \\ \implies (x_\alpha - y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} &\in im(\oplus_\alpha \partial_{\alpha,n+1}). \\ \implies x_\alpha - y_\alpha &\in im(\partial_{\alpha,n+1}) \\ \implies x_\alpha + im(\partial_{\alpha,n+1}) &= y_\alpha + im(\partial_{\alpha,n+1}) \\ \implies (x_\alpha + im(\partial_{\alpha,n+1}))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} &= (y_\alpha + im(\partial_{\alpha,n+1}))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \\ \implies \varphi((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} + im(\oplus_\alpha \partial_{\alpha,n+1})) &= \varphi((y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} + im(\oplus_\alpha \partial_{\alpha,n+1})). \end{aligned}$$

And so  $\varphi$  is well-defined.

$\varphi$  is surjective: To see this let  $(y_\alpha + im(\partial_{\alpha,n+1}))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \in \oplus_\alpha H_n(\mathcal{C}_\alpha)$ . Then  $y_\alpha \in \ker(\partial_{\alpha,n})$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $y_\alpha \in im(\partial_{\alpha,n+1})$  for all but finitely many  $\alpha$ . That is,  $\exists B \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  with  $|B| < \infty$  such that  $y_\alpha \notin im(\partial_{\alpha,n+1})$  for all  $\alpha \in B$  and  $y_\alpha \in im(\partial_{\alpha,n+1})$  for all  $\alpha \notin B$ . Define

$$x_\alpha := \begin{cases} y_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in B \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \notin B. \end{cases}$$

Then  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \in \oplus_\alpha (C_{\alpha,n})$ . Also,  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \in \ker(\oplus_\alpha \partial_{\alpha,n})$ . Thus,  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} + im(\partial_{\alpha,n+1}) \in H_n(\oplus_\alpha \mathcal{C}_\alpha)$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} + im(\partial_{\alpha,n+1})) &= (x_\alpha + im(\partial_{\alpha,n+1}))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \\ &= (y_\alpha + im(\partial_{\alpha,n+1}))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\varphi$  is surjective.

$\varphi$  is injective: Let  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} + im(\partial_{\alpha, n+1}) \in H_n(\oplus_\alpha C_\alpha)$  and suppose that

$$(x_\alpha + im(\partial_{\alpha, n+1}))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} = \varphi((x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} + im(\partial_{\alpha, n+1})) = (0 + im(\partial_{\alpha, n+1}))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}.$$

Then  $x_\alpha \in im(\partial_{\alpha, n+1})$  for all  $\alpha$ . So for each  $\alpha$ ,  $\exists w_\alpha \in C_{\alpha, n+1}$  such that  $\partial_{\alpha, n+1}(w_\alpha) = x_\alpha$ . Note that since finitely many of the  $x_\alpha$  are non-zero, finitely many of the  $w_\alpha$  are non-zero. So  $(w_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \in \oplus(C_{\alpha, n+1})$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} (\oplus_\alpha \partial_{\alpha, n+1})(w_\alpha) &= (\partial_{\alpha, n+1}(w_\alpha))_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \\ &= (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \in im(\partial_{\alpha, n+1})$  and  $\varphi$  is injective.

Since  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism,  $H_n(\oplus_\alpha C_\alpha) \cong \oplus_\alpha H_n(C_\alpha)$ .

**Problem (15).** The simplicial homology of a tetrahedron,  $T$  is given by the homology of the following complex:

$$0 \longrightarrow R^4 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} R^6 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} R^4 \longrightarrow 0$$

Where  $\partial_1$  and  $\partial_2$  are given by the following matrices:

$$\partial_1 = \begin{array}{c} 12 \quad 13 \quad 14 \quad 23 \quad 24 \quad 34 \\ \begin{array}{l} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

$$\partial_2 = \begin{array}{c} 123 \quad 124 \quad 134 \quad 234 \\ \begin{array}{l} 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 23 \\ 24 \\ 34 \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

Compute the simplicial homology of  $T$ .

*Solution.* The following computations were done using a computer algebra system.

Matrix	Rank	Nullity
$\partial_1$	3	3
$\partial_2$	3	1

Therefore:

$$H_0(T) \cong \text{coker}(\partial_1) \cong \frac{R^4}{R^3} \cong R$$

$$H_1(T) \cong \frac{\text{ker}(\partial_1)}{\text{im}(\partial_2)} \cong \frac{R^3}{R^3} \cong 0$$

$$H_2(T) \cong \text{ker}(\partial_2) \cong R$$